

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 ANTONIO LOPEZ, individually;  
11 JOHANNA LOPEZ, individually;  
12 M.R., by and through his guardian ad  
13 litem, April Rodriguez, individually  
14 and as successor in interest to  
15 Brandon Lopez; B.L. and J.L., by and  
16 through their guardian ad litem  
17 Rachel Perez, individually and as  
18 successors in interest to Brandon  
19 Lopez; S.L., by and through his  
20 guardian ad litem, Rocio Flores,  
21 individually and as successor in  
22 interest to Brandon Lopez,,  
23

24 Plaintiff,

25 vs.

26 CITY OF ANAHEIM, a municipal  
27 corporation; CITY OF SANTA  
28 ANA, a municipal corporation;  
DAVID VALENTIN, individually  
and in his official capacity as the  
Chief of Police for the CITY OF  
SANTA ANA Police Department;  
JORGE CISNEROS, individually  
and in his official capacity as the  
Chief of Police for the CITY OF  
ANAHEIM Police Department;  
PAUL DELGADO, individually and  
in his official capacity as an officer  
for the CITY OF ANAHEIM Police  
Department; BRETT HEITMAN;  
KENNETH WEBER, individually  
and in his official capacity as an  
officer for the CITY OF ANAHEIM  
Police Department; BRETT

Case No.: 8:22-cv-01351-JVS-ADS

*(Honorable James V. Selna; Magistrate  
Judge Autumn D. Spaeth)*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED EX  
PARTE MOTION TO MODIFY THE  
SCHEDULING ORDER**

HEITMAN; CAITLIN PANOV,  
individually and in her official  
capacity as an officer for the CITY  
OF ANAHEIM Police Department;  
BRETT HEITMAN, individually and  
in his official capacity as an officer  
for the CITY OF ANAHEIM Police  
Department; BRETT HEITMAN;  
DOES 1-10, individually and in their  
official capacity as law enforcement  
officers for the CITY OF ANAHEIM  
Police Department and CITY OF  
SANTA ANA Police Department,,  
Defendants.

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

The Court has reviewed Plaintiffs' Amended Ex Parte Motion to Modify the Scheduling Order, filed May 7, 2024. Finding GOOD CAUSE therefore, the Court GRANTS Plaintiffs' Motion and ORDERS the scheduling order in this matter be modified as follows:

Case Event	Current Deadline [Dkt 110]	Proposed Deadline
Jury Trial	September 17, 2024, at 8:30 am	no change.
File Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law	September 10, 2024	no change.
Final Pre-Trial Conference	September 9, 2024, at 11:00 am	no change.
File Pre-Trial Documents	August 26, 2024	no change.
File Motions in Limine	August 5, 2024	August 19, 2024
Fact Discovery Cut-off	May 10, 2024	June 7, 2024
Expert Discovery Cut-off	June 14, 2024	July 12, 2024
Initial Disclosure of Experts	May 17, 2024	June 14, 2024
Rebuttal Disclosure of Experts	May 31, 2024	June 28, 2024
Law and Motion Cut-off	July 15, 2024, at 1: 30 pm	August 12, 2024, at 1:30 pm
Motions to be filed and served	June 17, 2024	July 15, 2024

1 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Judge James V. Selna  
United States District Judge for the  
Central District of California